

**COMMUNISM
ANTI-NEGROISM
ANTI-SEMITISM
and
CEG**

By MYRON FAGAN

No. 107

Published by

CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

Organized to Combat Communism

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

OCTOBER, 1964 News-Bulletin

KNOW the TRUTH and the TRUTH shall make you FREE.

The most important thing for all of us to always remember is that the salvation of our Country depends upon getting the TRUTH of the GREAT CONSPIRACY to all of the American people. When—and if—that will be accomplished our nation will again be FREE—and SAFE. Our press won't do it—you know why—so it is up to YOU and me to do it.

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. BOX 596
BORING, OR 97009

AMAZING REACTIONS

Within a matter of days after our latest issue, "*News-Bulletin* No. 106," went into circulation we began to receive letters, many hundreds of letters. In the main, the writers expressed amazement and deep appreciation of the information the "*Bulletin*" provided, together with orders for additional copies. In some cases the orders called for 100 or more copies.

However, not all of the letters were complimentary. Perhaps one percent of the letters came from individuals who expressed resentment of our statements — all of them insulting and vituperative — all of them angrily accusing us of being "*anti-semitic*" and "*anti-negro*" racists. Most of these writers are courageous individuals who "*forgot*" to sign their letters. Those few who did sign are generally unknown to us, but their language clearly reveals their political climate — if they are not members of the Communist Party it is only because they are too penurious to pay the dues.

We have had similar experiences with many of our issues, particularly with "*News-Bulletin*" No. 105, entitled "*How TV Is Brainwashing The People*." That one elicited furious protests from some of the TV Newscasters we named. We also received a letter expressing "*deep hurt*" from Joseph C. Wilson, President of XEROX (*Duplicating Machinery*) CORPORATION, for our "*misunderstanding*" their "*humanitarian*" contribution of (*their stockholders'*) four million dollars to produce 6 "*TV Spectaculars*" that are to "*eulogize*" the "*United Nations*" — more precisely, *to further brainwash the people*.

In view of all that, I have decided to provide for our members and all interested loyal Americans a clear picture of what CEG stands for — and what we are trying to accomplish.

As I have stated, through the years we have received a great many bouquets and not a few brickbats, *many* coming from the "*Anti-Defamation League*" (ADL), the NAACP, and from such as the Kuchels, the Javitzes, the Cellers, the Hubert Humphreys and others of that ilk — and in some (*very*) few cases even from supposedly pro-American organizations who insisted "*we hit too hard*."

It would be fair to state that both the bouquets and the brickbats have been due to our approach to the problem of Communism, both within and without this country. We do not — *and never did* — deal in generalities and merely talk about how *terrible* Communism is and what a danger it is. We always have gone all-out in

our efforts to alert the American public. We have come out and NAMED the organizations and the individuals. We NAMED the Reds in Hollywood (*one of our "anti-semitic crimes," of course*); we NAMED the Reds who set up that "Secret Agreement" in the UN; we NAMED the Reds who have held that post. In short, we have never hidden behind a cloak of ambiguity!

We were the very first in the nation to come out and list the Reds in Hollywood — *by their names!* This was the cause of our first trouble (*openly, at any rate*) with the "Anti-Defamation League." Oh, they most assuredly "*agreed*" that infiltration (*CONTROL, really!*) of Hollywood by the Communists was terrible. But they objected to our *first* listing of 100 of the top names. Why? Well, their version was that 87 percent of the names turned out to be Jewish. Quite obviously, some of these people, the Edward G. Robinsons, the Eddie Cantors, the John Garfields, the Lester Coles, etc., are Jewish. And, just as obviously, quite a number, the Gene Kellys, the Myrna Loys, the Katherine Hepburns, the Phillip Dunnes, the Frank Sinatras, etc., are NOT Jewish. In fact, Kelly is supposedly a Catholic — and one would assume the same for Sinatra. Many of the rest could be anything — or nothing! We were only concerned with those theatrical personalities whose affinity for Communism had been clearly established. We were not at all interested in their religious beliefs, their racial or ethnic backgrounds, their color, their age or their sex — none of these things mattered or were of any importance to us then — OR NOW! In fact, our list was compiled with the very active aid and assistance of such men as Adolphe Menjou, Sam Wood, Rupert Hughes, etc., all of whom were extremely knowledgeable about the Reds in Hollywood — and could not even remotely be accused of "*anti-semitism*". In any event, the ADL *promised* to use its influence in an attempt to clean up the situation on the Hollywood Lots — *though they hastened to add that "of course they were in no position to control the 'political' thinking of any individual"* — if we would just refrain from further distribution and publicizing of our list of 100. We, very foolishly, believed their statements and promises. Needless to say, the ADL did nothing. The Reds continued — *and grew* — in their strength. A year later we finally realized that nothing would, or could, be accomplished through the ADL, and we then brought out our first book on the subject, "RED TREASON IN HOLLYWOOD." That one book did more to chase the Reds out of Hollywood than any other single thing. Shortly after the book came out, the Frederic Marches, Larry Parks, Garfields, Loys, et al, vanished from the Hollywood scene. Some went to the Broadway Theater, or to that new media of entertainment, TV; some went into "*retirement*." Strangely, *in the light of the situation today*, one of the big-

gest boosts given to our book was by Ed Sullivan. In fact, if it had not been for Sullivan, we would never have succeeded in getting the tremendously wide distribution our book attained.

Of course, the ADL has never forgiven us for our "*indiscretion*" of listing Jews in our lists of the Hollywood Reds. Over the years, the ADL, the Zionists and all the other organized Jewry, (*the "professional" Jews*) have endeavored to pin the label of "*Anti-Semitism*" on CEG. Perhaps right here we should add our explanation of that term "*professional Jew*." We have reference to those people, such as Arnold Forster of the ADL, who hold important and highly paid jobs in the various Jewish organizations such as the ADL, the "*B'Nai B'Rith*," the various Zionist organizations. Some, of course, are well-to-do and do not need the money the jobs pay, such as Dore Schary, current head of the ADL, but apparently they seem to feel the need of the "*prestige*" (*at least among the Jewish communities in this country*) that comes with the position. Apropos of Schary, when he took over as head of the ADL he is on record as having stated that even if "*Anti-Semitism*" could be completely eradicated in this country by thorough integration of the Jew into the fabric of this country, just as the Irish, the German, etc., have been integrated, he would be utterly opposed to this solution of the problem. In other words, these "*professional Jews*" do NOT want to see "*Anti-Semitism*" disappear — they fully intend to do everything possible to keep it alive ON ANY PRETEXT WHATSOEVER! For one example, let's take the matter of their frenzied sale of Israeli Bonds in the U.S. One wonders what the reaction would be throughout the country if the Americans of German, French, English ancestry, etc., were to work as hard selling (*forcing the purchase might be a better description of the way it is done*) the Bonds of Germany, France or England? In short, one has only to read some of the various Jewish newspapers to see the frantic insistence of the American Jews to retain their "*Jewishness*," to see the constant, *and overwhelming*, interest in Israel (*virtually to the exclusion of America*) and the hatred for the Arabs — *also a Semitic race*! So, as you can see, "*Anti-Semitism*" is one of those great semantic "*victories*." What it really means, and everybody recognizes it to so mean, is "*Anti-Jewish*."

Before going on to their greatest "*victory*" to force the label of "*Anti-Semitism*" onto the CEG, we should take a few moments to delineate their very great power: Can you imagine the outcry that would have prevailed through every nook and cranny of this country if, in say 1937, the Federal Government, under the aegis of Franklin D. Roosevelt, had endeavored to sell 500 million dollars worth of wheat to Hitler's Germany? Can you imagine Joseph Goeb-

bels coming over here to personally interview the President? Can you imagine German concert singers and pianists and violinists and German Folk dancers performing here in that period? Even in the late '40s, long after the war was over, Kirsten Flagstead and a famous German pianist tried to appear here, but were prevented from doing so by the riots created by "*professional Jews*," headed, in part, by Walter Winchell. These same "*professional Jews*" were busily engaged in organizing nation-wide boycotts against *all* German goods. Thru their control of the theater and the Hollywood studios they were busy producing scores of anti-Nazi plays and pictures. And even today those same pictures are run, time after time after time, on the TV "*Late Show*," "*Late, Late, Show*," "*Afternoon Show*" and "*Morning Show*." In fact, TV still makes more, *many more*, anti-Nazi "*shows*" today than it does anti-Communist "*shows*." These "*professional Jews*" worked long and hard to create the atmosphere of hatred that prevailed then against Hitler and the Nazis.

And today, the very same people are aiding and abetting all of the Negro "CIVIL RIGHTS" riots throughout our Land!

In reading the Jewish newspapers, one does have to admit that they do point out the "*Anti-Semitism*" that exists in Russia today. But isn't it strange that these same "*professional Jews*" do not use their great powers to help create the same strong public feeling against Communism that they built up against Hitler? We KNOW that the slave labor camps in Russia far outnumber those that existed in Germany — both as to the number of camps and the number of inmates. We KNOW that far more political murders occur in Russia than ever did in Germany. We KNOW that Communism is a far greater menace to us and to our way of life than Hitler ever was. We KNOW that Communism has far more spies (*and murderers*) in this country than Hitler ever did. Yet, despite this knowledge, despite the "*Anti-Semitism*" that these "*professional Jews*" claim exists in Russia, where is their leadership in this fight against Communism? — *a fight to preserve our endangered nation; a danger far greater, far more real, far more immediate than any danger Hitler presented to us.* The answer is that these "*professional Jews*" are strangely quiet, strangely inactive, and supposedly strangely lacking in "*power*" in *this* fight. Just as all those "*Liberals*," "*Do-Gooders*," "*Bleeding Hearts*" and Lefties were so strangely quiet when

Eichmann was KIDNAPPED from his home in Argentina and tried and convicted by a nation which certainly had no legal rights in the matter, and whose moral rights were, at best, dubious — and were so strangely quiet when the Diems were assassinated in Viet Nam. All the "*Liberals*," *et al*, were certainly in evidence and clearly heard when Medgar Evers, the NAACP organizer and agitator, was murdered. But, again, oh, so strangely silent when a union member, trying to oust the crooked and rotten union leadership in his union in New Jersey, was just as brutally murdered. And just as strangely silent when an attempt was made to murder General Walker. And just as silent when Walker's "*civil rights*" were abridged by that fake and fraudulent attempt to railroad him into an insane asylum following the action at "*Ole Miss*." And where were their plaudits and huzzahs when he was freed?

So now we come to the "*California Senate Fact-Finding Committee*." It should not be necessary to state that the motion picture industry has its headquarters in California, and that most of the TV shows are made here. As an example of the money and influence of the motion picture industry, they have a representative high in the California American Legion hierarchy. He draws a very good salary from the industry. His job? — to keep *all* Legion condemnations of the industry's employment of Reds from ever getting to the floor of the various Legion Conventions. And if he can't keep 'em off the floor, at least, water them down. So we can reasonably assume that the industry, and the "*professional Jews*" of the ADL, etc., wield considerable power in the California Legislature. In any event, in the Committee's report for 1961, they came out with an attack on the Guild. They admitted that "*There can be no doubt about the general truth of Mr. Fagan's statements concerning infiltration of the motion picture industry — indeed the entire entertainment world — by the Communists.*" But, as if to vindicate their attack on CEG, they then went on to say that "*There are heavy evidences of anti-Semitism throughout many of the (CEG) booklets (News-Bulletins) . . .*" Undoubtedly the Committee will seize upon our foregoing remarks about the "*professional Jews*" as further and even more conclusive proof of CEG's "*anti-Semitism*." Gentlemen of the Committee, if this be your definition of "*anti-Semitism*," then make the most of it! We have nothing against the individual Jewish shopkeeper, doctor, lawyer, salesclerk, etc., who happens to practice Judaism as his religion and is of a Jewish racial background, but is, in all other respects, a good and loyal American citizen. There are quite a number of Jewish organizations which are militantly anti-Communist and anti-Zionist — *and anti-ADL, too, for that matter* — does that label *them* as "*anti-Semitic*," too, Messers Burns and Coombs?

A personal note here: the other day I had my hair cut. The barber was a rather elderly Jew who, from both his accent and from what he himself said, was obviously born in the "*Old Country*," whether Germany or Poland or Russia, who cares? And what does it matter? However, he apparently owns a small apartment house, maybe 4 to 6 units, and dreads the idea that under California's new "*Rumford Act*" he might be *forced* to rent one or more units to Negroes. Either that, or face a very stiff fine. In addition, he volunteered the information that he not only did not like to cut Negroes' hair, but had deliberately refused to do so any time they entered a shop where he was working. In addition to his admitted prejudice in the matter, he felt that an entirely different technique was involved and he just did not know *how* to cut their hair. Of course, he had also refused to shave Negroes, but he did not claim that a different technique was required for that. He just won't do it, that's all. Inasmuch as the "*professional Jews*" and their "*organized Jewry*" are staunchly behind the Negroes and their "*integration*" efforts, one wonders just how the "*professional Jews*" would regard this one individual Jew? And I am quite sure that most individual Jews, if they were free to do so, would react much the same way as this barber.

But, back to the California Committee: they went on to agree that many, if not most, of the names in our "*Red Stars*" tract properly belonged there. However, they listed five, including Mary McCall, Jr., and Gregory Peck, as names that did *not* belong on the list, as they have now *emerged as ardent anti-Communists*. First of all, it is important to emphasize, *and re-emphasize*, that we have not listed people merely because they were *members* of some Communist-Front organization, — they were listed because they were either *officers*, or *board members*, or *officials* of some sort of pro-Communist organizations, and their names thereby appeared on the letterheads and stationery of the organizations, or they were *speakers* at the organizations' public functions and meetings. In other words, those we listed served as *leaders* and "*Judas goats*" in the various Red Fronts. Apropos of this, in an earlier "*Report*" covering the ACLU, the Committee quoted the San Francisco head of the ACLU organization as admitting that many of its members were probably Communists (*certainly, Pro-Communist*) — because he had had long experience in picking them out and found that they invariably belonged to a *number* of Red Fronts. Which has always been something that we have maintained, too. An *innocent* person could, mistakenly, join one or two such Fronts — Audie Murphy, our most decorated hero of World War II, was one such — but they could not join Front after Front after Front and still plead innocence.

Now to Mary McCall, Jr., and our "unjust" listing of her name in our books and tracts: According to the Committee's files (or records, if they prefer) she is listed as belonging to *at least 7 Fronts* — one of which she "*headed*." In one instance they state ". . . a meeting . . . attended by such outstanding fellow-travellers and Communists as Herbert K. Sorrell, Albert Dekker, Mary C. McCall, Jr., Frank Tuttle and Orson Welles . . ." See page 253 of the 1948 "Report."

Miss McCall made her record of pro-Communist activities and associations before, during and after the war. She was listed in the "Reports" of '45, '47 and '48 — apparently without any protest on her part, or any attempt to "*clear*" her name, or "*explain*" her actions. Finally, in 1954, she wrote to the Committee and requested the opportunity to *voluntarily* appear. The records show that she was handled very sympathetically, was not under oath — *and thereby was free to make any statement she wished without danger of charges of perjury!* At her appearance, she, quite naturally, tried to explain away all her involvements as just pure happenstances — and that, actually, as soon as she "*found out*" the true Communist attitude of these organizations, she merely dropped out. As might well be expected, she then went on to deliver a "*blast*" at the *anti-Communists*. That "REPORT" stated: "*Mrs. Bramson (Miss McCall) emphasized the fact that she considered extremists from the right, the fanatical and irresponsible persons with no regard for the rights of their fellow men and precious little regard for the truth, as extremely dangerous obstacles in the effort to combat the menace of Communism. Her most interesting comments cannot be quoted in full, (Why not? B.F.) but the following statement fairly well epitomizes her testimony. 'I think sometimes in revulsion from Communism — and revolting they are — you find yourself tempted to go too far the other way. This impulse you must resist.'*" Nowhere in her testimony or in the Committee's "Report" is there shown any evidence that she has participated in ANY anti-Communist efforts at all! Now, can one really believe that Miss McCall had cleared herself and had shown that she is, as the Committee contends, "*an ardent anti-Communist*"?

Now, let's take a look at Gregory Peck. If anything, his record of pro-Communist activities and associations is far worse than Mary McCall's. Yet he never was even willing to appear before the Committee to deny his record. All he did was *write them a letter back in 1950* merely denying his own record. So the Committee accepts this and "*clears*" him — *despite his zealous pro-Communist record!* They even go further and list him, too, as another "*ardent anti-Communist*." So where is his record of anti-Communist efforts? Does his use of Communist Lewis Milestone to direct his (*Peck's*) own picture constitute "*anti-Communism*?" One has only to review

Peck's associates, *associates solely of his own choosing*, to realize that there has really been no change at all in his associates. So does this sound like a person who is on our list "*mistakenly?*"

If these two instances are the best that the Committee can come up with to discount our book and our "*Reds*" Tract, then it certainly is safe to assume that the rest of their complaints about the Guild are equally incorrect — *and deliberately biased*. And as a final commentary on the "*knowledge*" of the Committee we would like to point out this instance: a woman wrote to the Committee to ask about a good book listing the Reds in the entertainment field — "*inasmuch as (according to the Committee) CEG's is so poor and so badly documented!*" The Committee's Counsel (R. E. Coombs) recommended "*Red Channels*." And, in case you didn't know, "*Red Channels*" has now been out of print for some 15 years. "*Red Channels*" was brought out by "*Counter Attack*," an anti-Communist publication in New York, shortly after our "*Red Treason in Hollywood*" appeared. It was a mere listing of a number of people in the theatrical profession with the records of their Red-Front affiliations — exactly the same kind of a listing, and virtually with the same people that appeared in our book. The only difference being that our book also told an interesting history of the entire conspiracy, whereas "*Red Channels*" was solely a listing. For some reason, only KNOWN to "*Counter-Attack*," but suspected by others, it was taken off the news-stands and bookstore shelves when it had sold only 17,000 copies — it could well have sold closer to one million! This was in 1950. Later, "*Counter-Attack*" officials were in Hollywood and talked about bringing out a similar book on the Hollywood studios — but also talked about how expensive it would be. Was that a "*pitch*" for publishing? — or NOT publishing? In any event, the supposedly knowledgeable counsel of the Committee blithely suggested that anybody wanting a *factual* book listing the Reds should purchase a copy of "*Red Channels*" — a book that the publisher deliberately took out of print back in 1950. *One wonders just how much we can trust this present Committee and its counsel.*

Apropos of that Committee "*Report*": From time to time various members of CEG have forwarded to us letters that they have received from sponsors of TV programs in response to protests against the employment of people who are listed in our "*Red Stars*" tract and/or in our book of "*DOCUMENTATIONS*." In many cases the sponsors include reprints of that "*Report*" to prove their "*innocence*." In addition, many of these sponsors, or their advertising agencies, seized upon what they consider to be a "*weak point*" in our publications: It was in the form of a "*quote*" from the California Committee's "*REPORT*" to the effect that we continue to list actors, producers, directors, etc., who have since died and thereby passed

out of the picture (*no pun intended!*).

Now, if we were back in the days of the tyrants and despots of a thousand or fifteen hundred years ago whose arbitrary laws and edicts were promptly thrown aside by their successors and whose memories were just as promptly forgotten by the citizenry, they could well have a point. But that situation does not exist today! What we are facing is the constant re-showing of these propaganda-laden pictures through the medium of TV. They are shown on the "*First-Run*" shows, the "*Late*" shows, the "*Late-Late*" shows, etc. In short, all of that same *pro-Communist propaganda* is brought into your home and crammed down your throat day after day, week after week, month after month.

We do not attempt to bring to our readers a current list of the movies and TV shows made by these pro-Reds. What we try to do is to give you a list of those who are pro-Red — on the theory that you should suspect each and every thing they have a hand in. As an example, we tell you that Gregory Peck has a very long record of pro-Communist activity and that you should, therefore, be wary about any picture that he either produces or appears in. Likewise with a Lewis Milestone. *Now*, if we find a picture that Peck produced and starred in, and we find that Producer Peck had hired Director Milestone to direct that picture, then we can quite reasonably expect that that picture (*which happened to be "Pork Chop Hill"*) would be *loaded* with pro-Communist and anti-American propaganda. Now, the question is: would this picture become less a propaganda agent simply because Milestone or Peck, or both, should happen to die? Today, with TV and its constant reruns, Shakespeare's quote from "*Julius Caesar*" that "*the evil that men do is oft interred with their bones*" no longer holds true.

Mr. A. S. L. Marshall, a retired Army General who is one of the leading military authorities of the press today, probably would not agree with many of our publications — he might even think that our "*Red Stars*" tract was a little too broad in its coverage — that not all those people could be quite as bad as we think they are. And this is quite typical of a great many people — who can't see the trees because the forest gets in the way. Yet, when it comes to a point that affects their own special interests, they can see just exactly how dreadful is this influence. Because, in quite a recent column, in May of 1964, to be exact, Mr. Marshall took the TV and Motion Pictures Industries to task because of their attacks on the military. He mentioned among other more current pictures, this same "*Pork Chop Hill*" that we referred to earlier. This reaction is quite typical of a great many people: they tend to disregard our

publications and “*pooh-pooh*” them until they suddenly find the tack right in the middle of their own chair.

So our reply to those who criticize our tracts and our other publications because they find that we still list persons who have died is that the pictures that these people made still contain that same pro-Communist message that they contained when the picture was first made, and that, therefore, all such pictures should not be “*forced*” into your Living Room — it’s just as simple as that!

THE MARIAN MILLER STORY

Except for the “*Beware of Greeks Bearing Gifts*” warning in our preceding issue (No. 106), which was based on the activities of such “*double-agents*” as Alfred Kohlberg — also apropos of the California Committee “REPORT” — the following “*Marian Miller Story*” might seem to be extraneous in this issue. However, there are different types of “*double-agents*,” such as Kohlberg who employed “GIFTS” to infiltrate into pro-American organizations — and there are “*phonies*” who employ the cloak of “*Patriotism*.” Hence the “*Marian Miller Story*” should prove very enlightening for those Americans who still are unaware of the “*wolves in sheeps’ clothing*” who are operating in their midst.

To express it mildly, there are many strange and inexplicable happenings in our crazy world of today. Like most Americans, we were aware that there *was* a Marian Miller — we were aware that she had been in the Communist Party and had appeared to testify against the CP in Hearings in Washington — and that she had written a book, which, until recently, we had never bothered to read.

But our first personal, if “*personal*” is the proper word to use in this case, contact with La Miller came after we had published our book “DOCUMENTATIONS OF THE REDS AND FELLOW-TRAVELLERS” and after it was very favorably reviewed in the “*Los Angeles Herald-Examiner*.” This first “*contact*” came when we were informed that she had called the newspaper and raised particular “*Merry Ned*” over their publicizing what she referred to as an “*anti-Semitic book*.” This struck us as being rather a strange action for a person who purports to be an avid anti-Communist. You’d think such a person would welcome a book exposing the Reds in Hollywood. Or wouldn’t you?

Our next contact came when someone forwarded to us a copy of that part of the “*California Report*” covering the Guild — *which had*

been privately reprinted. It had been received by our correspondent from La Miller in response to a query concerning us. Now, the question is: did La Miller dislike us *that* much herself that she paid *out of her own pocket*, to have the reprint made? Or did somebody else, *say the ADL*, pay for the reprint and arrange for La Miller to distribute it at her various speaking engagements?

For the past two years or so, Paul Miller, Marian's husband, has been constantly sending us notices of her appearances AFTER the appearance took place. In these notices La Miller always referred to herself as "*America's most decorated woman*," or words to that general effect. But perhaps we are doing her an injustice — it may possibly be that she is a bit more modest. It could be that, as she indicates in her book, somebody else pinned that label on her. Or could it be that it was her press agent, who quite likely is husband Paul Miller, who is the guilty party in this repeated silly and spurious claim? In any event, dear Paul slipped up once — *for the express purpose of needling us* — by advising us one day in advance of a scheduled appearance. Marian was to appear on a local TV station one morning at 8:30 and, in a curt letter, we were warned: "*You will be discussed.*" We promptly phoned the manager of that station and informed him, in no uncertain terms, that if we were discussed we would hold the station fully responsible for any and all libel and/or defamations that might occur — and that we would demand equal time to reply. The next morning we stayed home to see that program. It was a "*Public Service*" show — meaning that it was carried by the station without a sponsor — and was titled "*Essence of Judaism.*" And, if memory serves correctly, they listed the ADL and/or the B'Nai B'Rith as the originator. The important point, though, is that neither of the Millers appeared on the show *THAT* day. They were replaced by a singer from Israel being interviewed by *Theodore Bikel*. Apparently, the station decided it would be unwise to provide a platform for the malices of the Millers — *at their risk!* And, apparently, Paul Miller learned his lesson well! Because since that time we have been informed of their appearances only AFTER the appearance had taken place!

Since the founding of the Guild we have known, personally, quite a number of people who joined the Communist Party for the express purpose of acting as agents for the FBI, or who had been genuine members of the Communist Party and then came to their senses and testified against the Party. Some of these people, such as Karl Prussion, Matt Cvetic, Herb Philbrick, Paul Crouch, Bella Dodd, etc., are now actually fighting the Reds. And, *in every case*, all of them have been FOR the Guild and the work we are doing. And, we might add, at least one of these was born of Jewish parent-

age, yet even this person has never found anything “anti-Semitic” in what we say. So why this antagonism of the Millers — *assuming that they really are the anti-Communists they claim to be.*

THE MILLERS' BACKGROUND

After her attack on us we got a copy of her book from the public library and waded through it. In this book Marian admits that she met Paul in Florida during World War II — and that she then was, *as she herself described it*, a “*Liberal*.” At least one of her school mates turned out to be a “*hard core*” Commie. But all through her book, Marian “*protects*” all the Commies by not once *naming* those she knew as Commies. In this particular case she says . . . “*Marjorie, to give her a name that was not hers . . .*” One must wonder: why this protection of Communists — in a purportedly anti-Communist book? Why this deliberate refusal to name names? And in those days, even as today, the “*Liberals*” found nothing too wrong with Communists and Party members. You have only to remember back to November, 1963: Before the assassin was known, and even after, the “*Liberals*” went all-out to fasten that crime on “*extreme Rightists*,” which, under their terminology, would include the members of CEG and the Birch Society. Yet, they were all strangely (?) silent about the Left when, as it turned out, Lee Harvey Oswald was a Communist. And they are equally silent about the fact that assassinations, murder and terrorist activities have long been known to be Communist tactics. So Marian was a *good* little “*Liberal*.” And at the time that they met, *according to her own story*, Paul was a member of the Communist Party — and became Secretary of the Party in his region shortly thereafter. And, of course, according to *his* story, the ONLY reason *he* was in the Party was so that he could report to the FBI. But we are unable to find any record that this “*agent for the FBI*” ever testified at any of the Washington Hearings. Be that as it may, the important point is that this deckhand (*Paul*) was a member of the Communist Party at that time, and, therefore, fully under Party discipline. And he met this little gal who was, at least outwardly, a “*Liberal*.” And they romanced. We can accept that a “*Liberal*” might not be disgusted by a Commie. But how would a masquerading (?) Commie, who secretly (?) was an ardent *anti-Communist*, feel about such a “*Liberal*?” And does he tell her: “*Look, honey, I’m not really a Communist; what I really am is a spy for the FBI?*” Most of the “*Liberals*,” as their records clearly show, would have hollered: “*Get away from me, you dirty rat fink!*” And just how would a *real* anti-Communist feel about getting

mixed up with an ardent "*Liberal?*" Somehow, or other, this whole thing just doesn't smell quite kosher. But that's Marian's story and I guess we have to accept it — *with a ton of salt!* Either that, or be "*dirty disbelievers!*" A point worth considering here is that Matt Cvetic, who, though in the Party, was never a REAL Communist and only joined to help his country — he had to let his heart-sick immigrant mother die believing that her son had turned traitor to the country that she loved so much. And while Herb Philbrick's wife did know the situation, she was, after all, his wife, and not just his girl-friend whom he *might* marry.

However, apparently Marian and Paul did "*straighten out their differences*" and did get married. Paul subsequently dropped out of the Party (*so he claims*) and Marian, we must assume, did give up her "*Liberal*" leanings. And so the happy couple moved to California. But not too long later Marian again got mixed up with one of those "*Liberal*" groups. You know those outfits! You and I KNOW that they are Commie Fronts, but poor innocent Marian had no idea that the "*Committee for the Protection of the Foreign Born*" was anything like that at all, at all. So she joined it and became quite active in it. But, before joining, and, all of a sudden, like a bolt from the blue, she got the message: "*Hey, maybe this outfit ain't quite as kosher as they'd like people to think — maybe I'd better call the FBI.*" So she did. And before you could say "*Jack Robinson,*" or even "*Karl Marx,*" she was reporting to the FBI. And then, one fine day, she went to Washington — and there she became a "*dirty rat fink!*" And since that day Marian has been making very good money lecturing as an "*anti-Communist*" and "*America's most decorated woman.*" And Paul gave up his very good (?) job as a deck-hand, to act as his wife's manager — at, we presume, the usual 10 percent agent's commission.

Back in the very early days of the Guild, in 1949, one of our members, a Mrs. Ruth Drader, came to us and told us that she would like to infiltrate some of these "*Fronts.*" We don't know, of course, how wealthy Mrs. Drader was, but we do know that she lived in a very fine home in Beverly Hills that is worth, today, probably well over \$100,000. So it wasn't that she needed the money. She was then in her late Twenties or early Thirties. We advised her that it could be dangerous. And we also told her that before she took any steps to join any of these groups that she should advise the FBI and also check with the "*California Committee.*" She followed our advice. And she sent in written reports as she went along. And, I might add, we regularly received copies of these reports. In time she was called before the Committee, as the "*Comrades*" were beginning to get on to her and her usefulness was rapidly coming to

a close. Comparing both her testimony and that of La Miller, we can, quite dispassionately, state that her testimony was *at least* as valuable. To be honest, we'd say it was more important — but if we did that, La Miller would accuse us of being prejudiced. But tell us, honestly, have YOU ever heard of Ruth Drader? Have you ever heard of her getting all kinds of awards? And there was another woman in San Diego, whose name escapes even us at the moment, a "grandmother," who performed the same kind of service for her country. Did these truly sincere women get all kinds of awards and honors? And do they now travel all over the country fulfilling speaking engagements? No, these were women who were genuinely concerned about their country and about the menace of Communism. They joined these various "Fronts" deliberately and *only* for the purpose of exposing them. They were not "Liberals" who had "innocently" joined what turned out to be a subversive organization.

According to her book, Marian Miller states that she acted as an informer for the FBI *only* for the most patriotic reasons and that she never got paid for it. Yet, we have in our files a letter from J. Edgar Hoover which states: "*While neither were Special Agents of this Bureau, they were compensated for the data they furnished.*"

Another point of confusion: in her book, Marian states that Paul was an informer for 7 years beginning in 1939 and then for an additional 5 years while Marian was active. She implies that Paul did not quit the Party until some time after the war. But, according to Mr. Hoover's letter, Paul was in the Party, or, at least, reporting to the F.B.I. from April 1941 to April 1944 — 3 years, not 7! And again from November 1951 to March 1955 — a bare 3 1/2 years, not 5! Marian's service was from October 1950 to March 1955. T'would seem Marian's memory ain't too good!

If your library carries a copy of her book, you might find it interesting reading. Her book brings out that she was definitely under Party discipline — that her home was even used as a temporary refuge by a party big-wig. Yet, we wonder how it is that Paul was allowed to slip in and out of the party so easily? We had always heard that once you quit the party, you were permanently on their "dirty" list!

After La Miller's story was done as a General Electric TV show, with Ronald Reagan as host (*he also portrayed Paul in the two-part story while the very pretty Jeanne Crain enacted Marian*), it turned out that maybe it wasn't her story after all! Because, the Millers, Ronald Reagan and G. E. were sued for PLAGARISM, in the amount of \$500,000, by James R. Beardsley, who contended that the events shown in the TV show were "*lifted*" from his picture "*Underground*"

USA." Furthermore, we must admit that we were unable to understand why the locale of the TV show was changed from Los Angeles, where she performed all her "heroic" acts, to Chicago. And when this very stupid action puzzles even a professional dramatist. it must, indeed, be very strange! This lawsuit could well have been the straw that broke the camel's back and caused G. E. to cancel out Reagan.

Apropos of the above lawsuit: We had occasion to call our contact in the local office of the FBI to inquire about whether he knew if Paul had been an agent, or informer, for the FBI (*he took a quick check of their files and reported back that the could find no record at all on a "Paul Miller"*) — we also asked if he knew about the lawsuit. His immediate response was: "*Oh, you mean that suit in Texas?*" We'd never heard about the suit in Texas, so it appears that the Millers have been sued at least twice to date. Frankly, we don't know the outcome of either suit; they could have been settled out-of-court; they could still be pending; they could have been dropped.

By the way, if Paul would be good enough to give us *advance* notice of the places where they are scheduled to speak, we'd be happy to send the sponsors copies of this "*News-Bulletin*" with our compliments.

One final addendum to the Miller story. It might be entitled "*What kind of a ghoul am I?*" or "*What price publicity?*" To the best of our recollection, Marian did not mention General Douglas MacArthur in her book at all, but following his death, Paul promptly called the newspapers and made the following unsolicited statement: "*Miller reported his wife said sadly after hearing of General MacArthur's death: 'When I was going through suspense as a counterspy I always received my inspiration from two sources. The first source was J. Edgar Hoover himself, and the second was that wonderful, wonderful General MacArthur.'*"

And now let's go on to another amazing facet of the treason that is hell-bent to destroy our nation: *the brainwashings of our people by our Mass Communications Media*. The Moguls of those various Mediums have seized upon our most priceless possessions, the "*Freedom of the Press and Freedom of Speech*" and distorted both into instruments for our destruction. I hardly need go into all the details of their brainwashings and their treason as it has all been fully covered in our preceding issues (Nos. 104, 105 and 106), but there is one feature that I believe requires a bit of highlighting.

NEWSPAPERS AND PUBLISHERS

As we know, the great majority of the Press, particularly the major newspapers, Wire Services (AP, UPI, etc.) and magazines, is completely controlled and "*managed*." But, thank Heaven, there still exist a few newspapers in our Land that are very patriotic. The Manchester (N.H.) "*Union-Leader*" is one. In addition, there are a few others that we know of in Texas and elsewhere, such as the Phoenix (Arizona) Dailies — plus a number of small "*neighborhood*" papers. But all of them combined probably account for less than 5% of the total national circulation. But, excellent as these few newspapers are, they at times pose very perplexing questions.

For one example I submit the "*Hollywood Citizen-News*:" We first became aware of this newspaper's loyalty to country back in 1947. Recently it acquired a new owner, one Dave Huyler, a Beverly Hills realtor, who also owned the "*Beverly-Hills Citizen*." We don't know Mr. Huyler's politics, but, judging him on the basis of some of his Editorials in the Beverly Hills paper, he just has to be a good Conservative American, whether a Republican or a Democrat doesn't matter. Huyler did so well with his Beverly Hills paper that he began to look for wider fields. The "*Hollywood Citizen-News*" became available and he bought it — when you realize that this involved several millions of dollars, you begin to get some idea of the magnitude of the newspaper media.

From time to time we continue to read Mr. Huyler's editorials. We say "*his*" because they are printed under his By-line, therefore we must assume that he writes them and that they fully represent his ideas. Now the significant point here is that these editorials are, if anything, much further "*Right*" than we are. Mr. Huyler has frequently taken stands that we would be unwilling to espouse simply because we felt he was just a bit *too* daring. Yet, Mr. Huyler apparently dislikes the "CEG" and criticizes us for our stands! We sometimes wonder how people who seem to think just as we do can find justification for criticising us.

At the moment we have the "*Rumford Law*," which was *railroaded* through the California Legislature, and is, in essence, a California Civil Rights Law as applied to Housing. Under this Bill, any landlord **MUST** rent to anybody who applies regardless of race or color. The California Realtors are up in arms against this Bill. And Mr. Huyler, whether as a former realtor, an income property owner, or merely as a Conservative (*and we suspect that the latter reason is the most important in his case*) joins in the strong opposi-

tion to this bill. So the Realtors started a Petition to have this act declared unconstitutional by a public "*referendum*," with the matter to be placed on the ballot in November — *so that the entire electorate of the state can express its opinion!* As might be expected, all of the "*Liberals*," who prate so much about "*democracy*," but are really unalterably opposed to "*democracy*" and who really believe in control by an "*elite*," are opposed to this action. The state officials, from the Governor on down, have made all kinds of dire threats against those who support this "*referendum*." All the left-wing Democrats are opposed to it. But the backers of the "*referendum*" got far more, by some 50%, than the 400,000 signatures that were required. So we may expect this matter to be on the ballot in November. And we may also expect, despite the threats of Governor Brown and State-Attorney-General Mosk, et al, that the infamous "*Rumford Act*" will become illegal. Of course, the great cry of the "*Liberals*" is that we should first wait and see how the bill works out. But, if we wait *long enough*, we will then really be stuck with it — *as these scheming "Liberals" know only too well.*

It is just too bad that this same type of "*referendum*" cannot be used in *National* politics — because that is the *true* definition for "*democracy*," the word the "*Liberals*" and the "*Civil Rights*" groups distort and employ in their efforts to confuse the public. If the people can get a chance to express themselves that way (*via a referendum*) at the ballot box, where it counts for so much, we firmly believe that we would no longer be in the "*United Nations*"—and the U.N. would no longer be headquartered in New York City! But the "*Liberals*" are utterly opposed to such "*democracy*" in action — *when they know they'll lose!* These "*Housing Acts*" have lost every time the public has had a chance to vote. *And so has fluoridation!* Incidentally, in contrast to Mr. Huyler, one Braden, publisher of a small community newspaper in California, has been publishing editorials and articles designed to deliberately confuse his readers about the truly vicious objectives of the "*Rumford Law*" — as are other newspapers throughout the state. Indeed, the very language in the "*Law*" is confusing to those who have read it.

To fully alert the naive and the unwary, I will cite just one example of the unscrupulous things being done by the "*Liberals*" and the various negro groups: An apartment is for rent; a negro applies for it and is turned down on the basis that the apartment is already rented. An hour later a white man appears; oh yes, the apartment is still available. And a little later the apartment owner receives a phone call: either you pay us (*NAACP or CORE, etc.*) \$250 or we'll file an action against you under the "*Rumford Act*." And, mostly, they pay! It's cheaper than hiring a lawyer and having

that apartment kept vacant by court action until the case is heard and settled — which could take a year.

So Mr. Huyler is opposed to the "*Rumford Law*" and similar laws. *And so are we!* In fact, if we were to go over any 100 points, Mr. Huyler would probably find that both he and CEG are in complete agreement on at least 95 of them and the other 5 points would be disagreement *in particular* rather than in general.

What's the explanation for people like this? We don't really know. Someone once stated that all the anti-Communists are a bunch of prima donnas in that we all tend to go OUR own ways, which we are quite convinced is the ONLY way, whereas the Communists follow the straight party-line dictatorship. It may be that this is a weakness with us, but, after all, our greatest differences are only of DEGREE. While we may not agree completely with other Conservatives we do feel that they are all doing a good job and helping the general cause. We could just wish that others, such as Mr. Huyler, could also take this broader view.

MINORITIES

When talking about one "*minority group*" it seems to us best to include in that discussion ALL of the "*minority groups*." In this case we do not include the hyphenated Americans, such as the German-Americans, the Irish-Americans, the French-Americans, etc., because we feel that these groups are hyphenated Americans simply because certain politicians have found it worth their while to stress a difference that really does not exist. These people are AMERICANS with their first — *and their only* — loyalty to America.

So this leaves, as important "*minority groups*," the following: the Jews, who account for something like 3% of our population, and whose leaders are persistent in their efforts to prevent their assimilation; the Negroes, who account for 10% of our population and who stand out due to their color; the native American Indian, who probably is about as large a "*minority*" as the Jew, and who is relegated to a very few "*reservations*," mostly in the West, and who is the one "*minority*" that can really be said to rate as a "*second-class citizen*" — if, indeed, he can even be honestly called a "*citizen*;" and, finally, the Oriental. Again, we would suppose that there are almost as many Japanese, Chinese, etc., as there are Jews.

The group with the longest and shabbiest record of mistreatment is the Indian! They have been stripped of their lands; forcibly shoved into "*Reservations*" which are located in what are considered the poorest and least desirable lands. By a fluke of luck the Oklahoma reservations turned out to be located over oceans of oil. In California, Palm Springs developed into a wealthy winter resort. But these two isolated cases are just that: *two isolated cases!* The Indian became a ward of Uncle Sam — and for years was cheated by the "*Indian Agents*" and mismanaged by the Department of Indian Affairs. They were not even *allowed* to vote! Some minor changes have occurred in the last few years, but the Indian still is, even today, scarcely even a second-class citizen. And how many of these "*Liberals*" and professional "*do-gooders*" are raising a hue and cry about this one intolerable situation that does exist in our country? What action is the NAACP, or CORE, or *the ADL*, etc., taking to correct this one true case of long-continued and GENUINE discrimination and persecution that does exist in this country?

When the Oriental first came to this country it was as a not too dissimilar case that applies to the Negro. They were brought over as almost indentured labor (*slave labor, if you will*) to work on the construction of the transcontinental railroads. To say that they were then, and later, discriminated against and persecuted would certainly be an understatement of the truth. The Japanese came to this country in great numbers and settled on the West Coast where they were primarily active in agriculture. Naturally, over the years both groups developed large commercial activities. Despite their early persecution both soon developed into fine and representative American groups. The Japanese, less than a quarter of a century ago, suffered far more from the hands of the Government — and of the people — than has any other group with the exception of the Indian. At the outbreak of World War II all those on the West Coast were forcibly rounded up and shipped off to concentration camps. Their property was seized and, *if they were lucky*, they received about 10c on the dollar. Despite this, the American born young Japanese men were eager to fight for their country, to prove their loyalty to the country of their birth, and they set a fantastically high record of bravery and devotion. Because of their quite obvious physical difference from the average European-stocked American we have referred to them here as Japanese or Chinese, but this is patently unfair, as they were born, raised and educated here — and are Americans in the fullest sense of the word. This is their country and they want no other! They may well practise the religion that their forefathers brought here when they came — but then we pride ourselves on the fact that an American may practise any religion that he chooses — *but, unlike another*

"Minority Group" we could mention, their religion allows them to be patriotic Americans without a loyalty to another country!

And today the American of Oriental extraction is accepted as merely just another American who lives a normal life. But in many respects these Americans can certainly teach much to the majority of Americans. First of all, and it may well be the most important point on today's life, you *never* hear of their children becoming juvenile delinquents, getting into trouble with the police or the school authorities; they are not drug addicts; they are not gangsters or murderers or swindlers. It would appear that these are a highly moral people who know how to raise their children properly. An old-fashioned virtue, perhaps, but certainly one greatly needed today. Through their own individual efforts and the wise leadership of the leaders of their own communities they are today Americans who are not discriminated against. To say that ALL discrimination against them has completely disappeared ALL over the country would be a mis-statement, but certainly the greater part has, and, in time ALL will disappear. Simply because these people have been willing to work for and to EARN the same rights that the majority take for granted.

ANTI-NEGROISM? ? ?

This brings us to the last of the so-called Minority Groups, the Negro. Like the Oriental, the Negro stands out as being different from the European-stocked American. And, according to many highly reputed scientists, there ARE certain physical and MORAL differences between the Negro and the White. Whether these differences are good or bad is immaterial; the point is that there ARE differences. As a minor point, most Whites find that most Negroes, no matter how clean they may actually be, exude an odor which is not pleasant. By the same token, Asiatics state that the White man exudes an odor that the Asiatic finds unpleasant. And this may well be true! However, these are fairly minor points.

In their quest for so-called "*Equality*" the Negroes, their leaders and their associates are forgetting that you cannot "*legislate*" this "*equality*" into existence, nor can you force it down the throat of the majority (we do not really believe that the so-called leaders are ignorant of this, as they are far more interested in the old technique of "dividing and conquering," but it is probable that their rank and file followers do believe they can, by some weird feat of legerdemain, suddenly become "more equal" than anybody else). There are quite a number of Negroes who have

obtained good educations, good jobs and respect in the American Community, but they have done this only by dint of very hard work in school and by being willing to work just that much harder at their jobs.

One of the great cries of the Negro is that it is poverty that is the cause of their high crime rate, their poor schooling — just as it is the great cry, also equally falacious, that poverty breeds Communism. In actuality, all of the Communists in America are people who are considerably better educated than the average and thus able to earn a better living. While it is perfectly true that the slums have been responsible for a dis-proportionate share of the crime, it is also equally true that an enormous number of children raised in the slums who wanted to better themselves and worked hard to educate themselves did become decent and highly desirable citizens. Thus, it is not necessarily true that the poor lack a moral sense. But all the legislation in the world will not develop a moral honesty in those who lack it! The fact is that those who WANT to advance themselves and are willing to SACRIFICE and WORK for it, usually succeed. The South, as a whole, is recognized to have a lower standard of monetary income than the rest of the United States. Despite this, the South has worked hard to see to it that the physical education plant provided for the Negroes has been at least the equal of that provided for the Whites — from what we gather, it would appear that in most instances the Negroes got the best of the deal. But if their own teachers are not willing to work just that little bit more and the students are not willing to apply themselves just that little bit more, then it is patently unfair to blame the White leaders in the South.

Perhaps the best example of this educational problem can be found in New York City. This is a Northern city and it does not have the "*prejudice*" that the Negro claims exists in the South. It is, of course, perfectly true that a "*de facto*" school segregation does exist. After all, a child should go to the school in his own neighborhood. If he lives in a colored area, it's a colored school; if he lives in a white area, it's a white school. Why should money and time be wasted shifting students around by bus merely to insure that each school has its share of Negroes and Whites? How ridiculous can these Negro leaders be?

In a recent column, Joseph Alsop, scarcely a "*Conservative*," wrote about a grammar school in New York's Harlem, Public School 184, "a high, narrow, bleak structure like an Edwardian jail, where 1500 kids from the most leprosy run-down part of Harlem get their primary schooling." He goes on to point out that this school is not a

"blackboard jungle," but a pleasant, cheerful place that is well run—because the principal and the teachers work hard at trying to do their job. But the Principal herself points out part of the problem, a problem that these Negro leaders always try to ignore; she stated: "What you have to understand if you are going to get anywhere as a teacher in this sort of neighborhood is that these children are different. They're different because so many of them come from homes that are hardly homes at all — often without a father, often with a working mother, almost always without a single book. Lots of children first come to school barely knowing the simple words to express what they feel." So it would appear that the schools have to start out with a somewhat different "*raw material*." It might well be that if the Negro leaders were more interested in trying to get more teachers like this principal and her staff they might succeed in gradually building up the standards of the Negro to where they would more nearly fit the norm in every way.

Another, and very important point, involves the crime rate of the Negro — plus the general moral qualities of the Negro. It is a matter of statistics, provided by the FBI and the various local police authorities, that the Negro accounts for a disproportionate share of the crime in this country. As just one concrete example: in San Francisco, according to the City Health Director in a speech made in March of 1964, 8 percent of all births in San Francisco are illegitimate! And some one-half of this 8 percent are the offspring of Negro teenage girls. But the Negro accounts for only 10 percent of San Francisco's population. This means that almost very nearly fifty percent of the Negro children born in San Francisco in 1963 were illegitimate — as opposed to some 5 percent of the White children. And we may be sure that at least 10 percent of the White population was as poor financially, educationally and morally as the TOTAL Negro population. By contrast, the Oriental illegitimate birthrate was almost negligible. In many of the larger Northern cities, they (*the Negroes*) are responsible for 50 percent, or more, of the local crime rate despite their considerably smaller percentage of the population. Most of this crime rate is based upon gambling and crimes of violence; rape, murder, narcotics, physical attacks, etc. The Negro is responsible for only a very, very small share of such crimes as bank robbery, swindling, counterfeiting or anything else that involves brains or intelligence. This is another area where the Negro leaders could work to good results instead of merely constantly attacking the police and other authorities. Accept the facts of life and try to correct them! Don't merely alibi and make excuses!

In the last couple of years only a very few government officials have spoken out against the current lawless activities of the Negroes — the "*Marches*," the "*sit-ins*," etc. In many cases these things have

actually been done in defiance of laws that are, and always have been, on the books. In all cases they have been done in defiance of all good taste and good judgment. It may not be against the law to "sit-in" at a State Capitol and prevent the various State officials and employees from the normal pursuit of their jobs, but we wonder what would have happened to a few hundred white Americans who followed the same actions to protest the Income Tax law, or Trade with Russia? But then, we *know* what would have happened! We'd have been hustled out so fast that we wouldn't have known how it had been done. And all the newspapers would have given us a very "bad" press. All the "Liberal" politicians have avoided any criticisms of these actions. In fact, in many cases, they have either joined them or have openly supported them — as Kennedy did the "March on Washington." But today it appears that even these "Liberals" are finally realizing that they have allowed the situation to get completely out of hand. Even "Pat" Brown, California's Governor, and as extreme a "Liberal" as exists, has finally spoken out in protest against the actions of this "minority."

Note:—I wonder if any Federal official or Department of the Federal government would have the courage to give an approximate figure of the numbers of lives lost, property destroyed or damaged, persons jailed, losses to places of business that have resulted since Warren's "School Desegregation Decision" and the subsequent planks in political Parties "platforms" condoning and encouraging the Negroes' so-called "non-violent" demonstrations, sit-ins, and a general disruption and breakdown of law enforcement due to those disorders? (BF).

In our discussions of these so-called minority problems and actions we must never forget that this is all Communist (*The One-World Conspiracy*) inspired and promoted! There is a vast volume of Communist literature that shows that the Communists have recognized that the Negro is a very fertile field for their actions. It is to the advantage of the Communists to divide us as much as possible and to encourage the "hyphenation" of Americans — the Greek-American, the Italian-American, the Negro-American, etc., etc.

One has only to look at many of the leaders in the current Negro actions. Men such as Bayard Rustin. This man not only has a record as having been a Communist, but served time in prison as a "Draft dodger." He was arrested, and convicted, in Pasadena, California, for abnormal sexual activities. And this type of person is a "leader" for the Negro! Rustin, as we might well expect, is also active in any-

thing that will disarm the United States, including the various "*Ban the Bomb*" groups. And in this he is joined by many other Negro "*leaders*," Martin Luther King's wife being another one who is active in such groups as "*Women Strike for Peace*" and other Left-Wing groups. We have only to look at the pro-Communist records of just about every Board member of the NAACP to see the extent of Communist interest in the American Negro.

But, as a contrast, we have only to compare the standard of living and freedoms of the American Negro with not only the Negroes throughout the rest of the world, but with most of the people throughout the world. The standard of living and average annual income of the American Negro far exceeds that of any people with the exception of only a few of the Western European countries. Certainly no "*nation*" in Africa provides its people with the freedoms or the standard of living that the American Negro enjoys. We have only to pick up the newspaper to see where in Africa one Negro tribe holds another in bondage — or is busily trying to exterminate the other group.

And where is that great protector (*Soviet Russia*) of the Colored races? There is far more segregation and racial discrimination in Russia and the other Iron Curtain countries than has ever existed here. Western Europe, such as France and England, had always had a (*very*) few Negroes — and these Negroes were accepted in these countries. However, it is interesting to note that in England, which had a tremendous influx of the colored races after the war, and which resulted in a noticeably good-sized "*minority group*" in various areas, there soon began to develop an anti-Negro bias. In previous years the English had been highly critical of the treatment of Negroes in America; of the separate schools in the South; of the "*Harlems*" in the northern cities; of the American viewpoint concerning the moral standards of the Negro, etc. But today the English find themselves doing exactly the same! Today they question the moral standards of the Negro — and these are Negroes from their own (English) possessions, not from America — they resent the Negroes moving into their areas, they have the same "*race riots*" that have occurred here, and they have long since adopted the "*last hired, first fired*" policies that they accused America of using. But the Eastern European countries NEVER had any Negroes, probably because they never had any foreign (*African*) colonies. The Negroes now brought into those countries are brought there from the new "*nations*" in Africa for Communist training in their schools. Yet, despite the fact that these are "*official*" invitees by the Soviet and other Communist Governments, these Negroes are treated far worse in those countries than is the Negro in America.

As we previously pointed out, a careful appraisal of the so-called "*Minority Groups*" that exist in this country today shows that the one group which is really deprived of its rights is the native Indian — and this is due to the policies of the Federal Government! *And this is the one group which is having the least done for it!*

The Jew remains a minority group simply because their leaders, the "*professional Jews*" who make a very good living by building up this aura of "*anti-Semitism*" and insisting that the Jew remain constantly aware of his "*Jewishness*" and (false) loyalty to Israel and Zionism, will have it no other way.

The Negro is unwilling to "*earn*" his acceptance. He wants "*special rights*" to be handed to him on a silver platter. Even where there is no Negro clientele he "*demand*s" that there be Negro workers — whether fitted or unfitted for the job. And he is more than willing to resort to illegalities, lawlessness and outright violence, including murder, to force his will upon the (*white people*) majority.

By contrast, the American of Oriental descent has shown the way for these latter two "*minorities*." He worked hard, developed his skills and abilities, abided by the law, brought up his family with high moral qualities and is, to-day, just another American. Both the Jew and the Negro could learn much and save America much turmoil (*and highly probable great bloodshed*), by learning from these Americans.

(Note:—I cannot conclude this subject without exploding one very obvious myth — the Myth of Equality — and stressing how the collaborators of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy are slavishly carrying out the directives in the Israel Cohen "excerpt." BF.)

THE MYTH OF "EQUALITY."

The great cry of the Communist and pro-Communist Negro "*Leaders*" is for "EQUALITY" — the "*pleadings*" of the political crooks and stinking hypocrites we have harbored in the White House beginning with the Roosevelts, has been for "EQUALITY" — the "*heart-bleedings*" of the Kuchels, Javitses, Humphreys and the other hypocritical opportunists in Congress are nothing more nor less than a collective effort to inflame the Negroes for the purpose of achieving the Internationalist-Communist One-World objective. That goes for all the "*Men of the Cloth*," particularly those of the "*National Council of Churches*," who piously and blasphem-

ously join their voices for this Communist-Atheistic "Cause."

No realistic person can for one moment be deluded into accepting the ridiculous fiction that "*the Lord created all men of one race and created them equal.*" Even some of the Negro leaders themselves are fully aware — *and have gone on record* — that although "*equality*" before the law can be conferred on all men through legislation, any efforts to enforce an unwanted integration on an unwilling American people, *even at bayonet point*, is not only impossible but utterly ridiculous — *for obvious reasons*:

Three thousand years ago, the ancestors of the white man were skin-clad savages running through the forests of Northern Europe. Since that time, the White Man has carved great cities out of the wilderness in Europe and America and has created the highest civilization ever known to all humanity.

By the same token, three thousand years ago, the ancestors of the black man were skin-clad savages, if clad at all, running through the jungles of Africa. In all the centuries since, the black man has created absolutely nothing on his own. Any progress of *any kind* made by the Negro has been made only by demanding half of what the White Man has built and learned. Even today, in Africa the blacks are still skin-clad savages and cannibals running through the jungles. Today, in America, the Negro threatens to revert to savagery en masse unless the White Man will surrender to him *even more* of what has been achieved through thirty centuries of unremitting toil and intelligent perseverance. However, even such surrender would still not make the Negro our equal.

The renegade white leaders of the NAACP, of CORE, of all such groups, hypocritically wail that the Negro in America suffers the status of a "*second-class citizen*" because he has been deprived of educational and cultural opportunities — that he is forced into sub-standard housing and is not given equal job opportunities in industry. Well, let me point out that houses are built by people. If the Negroes are so fully "*equal*," why can't they buy lumber and nails and build houses of a standard befitting their demands for "*equality*?" As for educational and cultural opportunities — the culture the White Man has is that which he himself created. Educational materials we possess represent the sum and substance the White Man — *not the Negro* — has achieved since the beginning of time. At that, as far as the Negro in America is concerned, the same White Man's educational and cultural opportunities have been open to him during the past hundred or more years — the proof for that is found in the successful Negro lawyers, doctors, dentists, industrialists, *an infinitesimal fraction of one per-*

cent of our Negro population. The further concrete proof of the Myth of "Equality" is found in the overwhelming mass of Negroes who don't even know the meaning of "Equality."

If the Negroes are so fully equal, why have they not created cultural and educational facilities of their own in three thousand years? Why do we have to GIVE them half of ours? The White Man built industries in Europe and in America and thereby made his own "job" opportunities. The Negro never built an industry of any kind anywhere in the world — not even in his own Africa — but he wails that he is being "*discriminated against*" when it comes to employment. Why shouldn't he be given secondary status in industry? What has he ever contributed to society or to industry other than vicious manners and an undesirable presence.

It always has been obvious to thinking Americans that the Negro is definitely not the equal of any other race of man on earth. As a matter of fact, the Negro has always been the first to agree that he is not the equal of the White Man. The myth of "*equality*" is a false one projected by the Internationalist-Communist conspirators in their plot to plough under the White race so that they may take over the whole world. The national head of the NAACP has never been a Negro — always a white agitator-tool of the CFR and the ADL, with both (*CFR and ADL*) headed by the same Lehmans, the same Schiffs, the same Baruchs, the same Warburgs, et al, and their renegade-Christian collaborators. The National administrations of all the Negro organizations swarm with these same kind of white renegades — who advocate intermingling of the races, *but with no intention of becoming intermixed with Negroes themselves!* In their maniacal efforts to destroy the United States and freedom throughout the world, these renegades have long ago announced their intention (*see the Israel Cohen "excerpt"*) of forcing White Christians to intermarry with Negroes. This would effectively eradicate the only power that stands between their One-World Government plot and its final triumph over the world. *The Negro, who has never contributed anything to civilization, could hardly be expected to defend it against the Red Beast of International Communism.*

And here is the most important feature to *always* bear in mind: If the White Race will be watered down through miscegenation into a Mulatto mass, the One-World conspirators will have only Negroes to deal with in their enslavement of all mankind on earth. That is the real ultimate objective of the conspirators who, *aided and abetted by their traitor-stooges in Washington*, are urging our "*equals*," the semi-civilized, *savages-by-instinct*, black men of Africa, to march on city centers throughout the nation in their efforts to compel the White Man to surrender all that he has left — *the*

sanctity of his home and the security of his family — to the uncivilized and rapacious Blacks.

And now, last, *but not least*, we have the alien and atheistic “United Nations,” composed, *in the main*, of African and Asiatic “Nations” — “Nations” *that are merely tribes of savages and cannibals*—going all out to complete that job.

And the bitter irony of it all is that naive, but otherwise loyal, Americans are aiding the UN to accomplish it — they still insist that the UN is our best instrument for PEACE — *they still permit the foul UNESCO to control our schools and poison the minds of our innocent children!*

WHITE RACE IS THE “MINORITY GROUP”

Earlier I shattered all that drivel about “minorities being persecuted” in America. I fully established that that drivel has absolutely no basis in fact. But now let’s go a bit deeper into the matter: Throughout the centuries, the colored races, including Chinese, Japanese, Negroes, Hindus, etc., have always vastly outnumbered the White Race. Today, even more than before, the combined colored races occupy 90 percent of the habitable surface of the earth. The White Race has been crowded into western Europe and the North American continent. And, today, more than ever before, there is a constant and continual pressure by the colored races to push us off our last little portions of the earth. *Thus, the actual “minority” in this world is the White Race!*

That, of itself, however, never constituted a real menace to the White Race. Throughout all the centuries, the colored races, *particularly those in Africa*, looked up to and respected the “White Man”—they respected and feared the “White Man’s Law.” That “respect” began to evaporate in the 19th century—and erupted into hatred in the present century.

That need not and should not have happened if, in the past, our ancestors had not been so stupid as to allow control of national and international affairs to fall into the bloody hands of the One-World conspirators, then known as the “ILLUMINATI” — *controlled and dominated by the Rothschilds and their voracious gang of Internationalists!* It was they who launched the French Revolution in the final years of the 18th century — it was they who, through control of all Mass Communications Media (*then as NOW*), engineered all of our modern wars. Time after time after time they prodded the

various White (*Christian*) nations into wars against each other — wars which reduced more and more our image of superior "*humanity*" in the eyes of the colored world — wars for the decimation and destruction of the (*Christian*) White Race, *with the White Man's own weapons* — while the Internationalist conspirators and all Asia sat back and laughed and laughed — *as they are laughing today!*

Consequently, today, we, the White Race, the builders of civilization (*but, too often, destroyers of it*) have come to the final impasse. Today, the United States is the last stronghold of the White Race; today we must defend the gates of this stronghold — or surrender the whole world, *including all the colored races*, into slavery in the Internationalist-Communist One-World Government. Our weapons are still mighty enough to enable us to smash Communism and wipe the Internationalist conspirators off the face of the earth. After we have done that, we can put the Negro back in his place, perhaps deport all of them to their native jungles in Africa — it is becoming more and more evident that he does not fit into our society — obviously, he's not happy here.

But first we must have intelligent, honorable and trustworthy leadership. We cannot hope for that sort of leadership from the traitors in Washington. We must organize ourselves into a powerful, crystal-clear political crusade, so as to sweep the crooks and traitors out of control of our Federal government — and that goes double not only for the White House, but for the U. S. Supreme Court, our State Department and ALL Federal Agencies.

The American people have allowed themselves to forget, *thanks to the brainwashings of our Mass Communications Media*, that the Congress of the United States was created by our Founding Fathers for the purpose of representing the interests of ALL the American people and not to serve as a collective rubber-stamp for the traitorous Administrations — and, *tragically*, many otherwise loyal American members of Congress, *aside from the witting and deliberate traitors*, have allowed themselves to forget their sacred obligations to our people and our country. We can take the necessary steps on November 3 to drive all traitors, *the witting and the unwitting ones*, out of Congress. The time to organize is NOW — we have provided you with the *voting records* of your Representative and Senators. You know what to do — *don't let any MINUS politicians get back into Congress, if you love your Country!!!*

THEY CALL THEMSELVES "HUMANITARIANS"

During the past year, droves of Whites, so-called "*Reverends*" and White students, with a sprinkling of Blacks, have been *lawlessly* invading the South — to "*educate*" the Southern Negroes how to "*vote*" and how to "*fight*" for their "*civil rights*." Most of these "*educators*" and "*humanitarians*" come from New York. That brings up one amazing question: How can these delegations of self-described "*humanitarians*" come to the South, in good conscience, on "*holy pilgrimages*" to impose New York's way of life upon the Southern people? — How dare they try to impose Federal "*Civil Rights*" laws on the South, when we all can see at first hand the futility of such laws in their own definitely segregated city?

To clarify what I mean, let me submit a picture of the terrible condition that exists in New York today — and then ask how any good Christian person can in good conscience try to transport that kind of *savagery* from New York to the people of the South — and if this (*following*) picture is inaccurate, I wish someone would set me straight.

1) Virtually all New York city schools are dominated by knife-wielding young Negro hoodlums who terrorize younger children — and frequently beat up their teachers . . . 2) Lawless mobs of Negro hoodlums roam the subways — most people (*especially Whites*) are now afraid to ride the subways after dark, for fear that those roving bands will insult, beat, rob, rape or murder them . . . 3) As a result of all that, the amenities of life have virtually disappeared in public places in New York; people jostle each other in the subways, push each other roughly aside to get to taxicabs, snarl at each other in traffic and have little or no respect for their elders — many people in New York, through fear or otherwise, have become so selfish and devoid of common decency that they have turned their backs and done nothing, *not even telephoned the police*, while women were being raped and murdered in plain sight of hundreds . . . 4) Harlem is a filthy rat-infested slum. Gangs of roving Negroes terrorize the residents; the same gangs vow "*vengeance*" on White people; even in broad daylight, lone White people don't dare to visit Harlem; dope peddlers, prostitutes, procurers, and young gangsters are on virtually every block; sex perverts hang out in subway (*and other*) restrooms; invade places like Times Square, to beat and rob White people; housing projects, which have replaced slums, have in turn become nightmares of fear and filth, with obscenities scratched on the new walls, and robbery, rape and mur-

der commonplace in the corridors and elevators.

For a number of years now, a person takes his life in his hands if he goes walking in Central Park after dark. Recently a New York newspaper columnist published that *"the Lions and the Tigers in the Central Park Zoo have frantically petitioned their keepers to doublelock their cages after dark — to safeguard them from those roving gangs of young Negroes."*

Undoubtedly, that columnist intended that statement to be a joke. But, at least by innuendo, it indicates that even a tigress and a lioness fears and abhors the thought of being raped by these African (*so-called American*) savages.

That's the picture of New York City as it is today — that's the picture of Washington, D. C., of Rochester, of all the (*Civil Rights*) strife-torn cities in the North. But here let me *stress*: it is not a picture I have conjured up, it is a picture that all of us get from the newspapers in all those cities, also from the Wire Services. And *that* is the kind of life all those "*humanitarians*," with brazen audacity, are determined to inflict upon the White people of the South where, through the centuries, all such crimes have been conspicuous by their absence — where the Negroes behaved like civilized human beings *because they both feared and respected "White Man's Law."*

Isn't it time, fellow-Americans, that such activities by those foreign (*to the South*) Northern "*humanitarians*" be stopped? — that such "*invasions*" and activities be properly adjudged as crimes, punishable not merely by fines, but by *incarceration in prisons*?

Perhaps even more important, isn't it time that we take a stern view of the entire "*Civil Rights*" plot? — That we take proper legal measures to defang and declaw all the traitors in Washington who have been deliberately collaborating with the plot of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy to destroy our God-given Country? *Or are we 170 million White Americans going to surrender our Country and our birthrights to the 20 million semi-civilized Africans — inflamed and incited by those "Masterminds" to do their job for them?*

In short, what kind of a heritage are we going to hand down to our children — and their children?

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. BOX 596
BORING, OR 97009